

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/872,590	SRIVASTAVA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Satish S. Rampuria	2191	

All Participants:

(1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(2) Jasper Kwoh (54,921).

Status of Application: _____

(3) Peter Mei (37,968).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

1,20,32

Prior art documents discussed:

No

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to claims 1, 20, and 32 and the permission was given by Mr. Mei since Mr. Kwoh was not available. For details please see the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)